UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

. .

JOSE RODRIGUEZ-QUEZADA,

Case No. 3:23-cv-00130-MMD-CLB

Petitioner,

ORDER

٧.

KYLE OLSEN, et al.,

Respondents.

Jose Rodriguez-Quezada has submitted a *pro se* 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition for writ of habeas corpus. His application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. (ECF Nos. 1, 3.) The Court has reviewed the petition pursuant to Habeas Rule 4, and it will be docketed and served on Respondents.

A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which Petitioner is aware. If Petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (regarding successive petitions). If Petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his petition, he should notify the Court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a motion to amend his petition to add the claim.

Rodriguez-Quezada has also submitted a motion for appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 1-2.) There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel in a federal habeas corpus proceeding. See Luna v. Kernan, 784 F.3d 640, 642 (9th Cir. 2015) (citing Lawrence v. Florida, 549 U.S. 327, 336-37 (2007)). But an indigent petitioner may request appointed counsel to pursue habeas relief. See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. See id. § 3006A(a)(2) (authorizing appointment of counsel "when the interests of justice so require"). However, counsel is appropriate if the

complexities of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is so uneducated that he is incapable of fairly presenting his claims. See LaMere v. Risley, 827 F.2d 622, 626 (9th Cir. 1987); Brown v. United States, 623 F.2d 54, 61 (9th Cir. 1980). Here, it appears that Rodriguez-Quezada's claims of error and ineffective assistance of counsel related to his trial and conviction for second-degree murder may be complex. He argues, for example, that he did not understand a plea deal that was proffered through a Spanish interpreter and states that he continues to struggle with his litigation without bilingual assistance. In order to ensure due process, the Court grants Rodriguez-Quezada's motion for counsel.

It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's application for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis (ECF Nos. 1 and 3) is granted.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court detach, file, and electronically serve the petition (ECF No. 1-1) on the Respondents.

It is further ordered that the Clerk add Aaron D. Ford, Nevada Attorney General, as counsel for Respondents and provide Respondents an electronic copy of all items previously filed in this case by regenerating the Notice of Electronic Filing to the office of the AG only.

It is further ordered that the Clerk detach and file Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 1-2.)

It is further ordered that Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 1-2) is granted.

It is further ordered that the Federal Public Defender for the District of Nevada ("FPD") is appointed to represent Petitioner.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court electronically serve the FPD a copy of this order, together with a copy of the petition for writ of habeas corpus. (ECF No. 1-1.) The FPD has 30 days from the date of entry of this order to file a notice of appearance or to indicate to the Court its inability to represent Petitioner in these proceedings.

///

It is further ordered that after counsel has appeared for Petitioner in this case, the Court will issue a scheduling order, which will, among other things, set a deadline for the filing of an amended petition.

DATED THIS 24th Day of April 2023.

MIRANDA M. DU

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE